

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,748	06/22/2006	Roland Carlsson	P19146-US1	1054	
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 08/26/200 NC	9	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGAC	Y DRIVE	GHOWRWAL, OMAR J			
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	11175021		2416		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/596,748	CARLSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	OMAR GHOWRWAL	2416	

	OMAR GHOWRWAL	2416					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to void dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 I he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL -324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Impliant Americanient (102-324).				
 Applicant's lepty has overcome the binoming rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or mended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 11-13.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
/Derrick W Ferris/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/O. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 2416						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the Applicant's arguments, para. 0023 which the Applicant cites from this lis not referenced by the Examiner at all. The Examiner referenced para (or in the initial Office action, which describes fig. 2 of Ishii, and fig. 2 and fig. 3 are two separate entities, with fig. 3 actually attempting to distinguish itself from fig. 2 (see para. 0023). Furthermore, in the Final Office action, the Examiner further expounded upon the initial Office action's clatation of para. 0022 feets to. Additionally, the Applicant alleges that the claimed function Fis not a function of time. However, it clearly is a function of time as the claim recites "based on the power level of the shared packed data channel at the present transmission interval (P_PDS(I)), which indicate two time periods, and as is stated by the Examiner in the Final Office action "HS-SCCH#1 is a separate TTI than HS-SCCH#2, however the present and past intervals of HS-PDSCH#fife LS-SCCH#2, which refers to power levels of HS-PDSCH#fife LY2 at those two time intervals affecting the claimed "second part" i.e. HS-SCCH#2. Furthermore, the Applicant does not address the Lee reference, which is further used to teach wast is additived occurs at a claimed "first part" of the same TTI of data, and Lee clearly mentions a function is further used to teach